BEFORE THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF COSMETOLOGY

2

3

4

5

6 ||

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16171819

2021

2324

22

25

2627

28

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF COSMETOLOGY,

Petitioner,

VS.

ETERNAL BEAUTY SALON, Salon License No. S-14159 (EXPIRED), owned and operated by SALFI ENTERPRISES, LLC, Business ID No. NV20141077794 (REVOKED), SALOMON HERNANDEZ MARTINEZ (UNLICENSED) and MARIA FIGUEROA CHAVEZ (UNLICENSED),

Respondents.

Case No. C-2023-0068

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

Filed September 26, 2023

INTRODUCTION

On August 7, 2023, the above-entitled matter came for decision before the Nevada State Board of Cosmetology hereinafter "the Board". The hearing was held at CJC Properties, LLC located at 6920 Cimarron Road, Suite: 110, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113. Board members present were Molly O'Bryant, Anita Douglas, William Dettrey, Sierra Wold, and Roxanne Pruitt Harris. Deputy Attorney General, Ziwei Zheng, was present and appeared as Counsel for the Board. Deputy Attorney General, Chricy E. Harris, was present as prosecutor on behalf of Executive Director, Steven McDonald, who was also present. Representatives for the Respondent, Eternal Beauty Salon, did not appear.

Respondent was notified of the administrative hearing scheduled for August 7, 2023 via Complaint and Notice of Hearing dated July 14, 2023. See Exhibit 6. The Complaint and Notice of Hearing included a Certificate of Service signed by Debra Turman, an employee of the Office of the Attorney General that she mailed the Complaint to the Respondent via regular mail, certified mail, and certified Mail (RRR) to Respondent's address. Respondent following receipt of the Complaint and Notice of Hearing did not file

a response to the complaint. Additionally, the Respondent did not request a continuance of this matter.

Noting Respondent's absence, the Board proceeded to consider the matter without the participation of the Respondent pursuant to NRS 622A.350(1). Ms. Harris called Janie Huggins, Chief Compliance Officer, to substantiate exhibits offered by the Petitioner:

- Exhibit 1: December 7, 2022 Board Letter;
- Exhibit 2: December 14, 2022 Board Letter and December 14, 2022 citation invoice;
- Exhibit 3: January 26, 2023 citation notice;
- Exhibit 4: Board invoices issued from June 6, 2020 to present;
- Exhibit 5: Photographs of Respondent in operation;
- Exhibit 6: Return Receipt confirming Respondent's receipt of Complaint & Notice of Hearing.

During Ms. Huggins' testimony, Ms. Huggins explained each exhibit to the Board. Ms. Huggins also confirmed that each exhibit was fair and accurate and that each exhibit did not appear to be altered in any way. Following Ms. Huggins' testimony, Ms. Harris moved to admit Exhibits 1-6 into evidence. The Board by motion granted Ms. Harris' request.

Ms. Harris pursuant to NRS 622A.350(2) argued that the Board may accept the allegations against the Respondent in the Complaint as true. Ms. Harris read allegations 1-13 into the record. Following Ms. Harris' reading of the allegations into the record and review of the admitted exhibits, the Board by motion voted to accept the allegations against the Respondent as true. Following the vote, Ms. Harris read into the record the alleged violations of law, specifically NRS 644A.610(1)(b) and NRS 644A.610(2). Following Ms. Harris' reading of the alleged violations and review of the admitted exhibits, the Board by motion voted to accept the violations of Law (NRS 644A.610(1)(b) and NRS 644A.610(2)) as proven.

On behalf of Executive Director, Steven McDonald, Ms. Harris requested the relief outlined below:

- a. That the Board takes action against Respondent, Eternal Beauty Salon's salon license pursuant to NRS 644A.850(2)(b) by revoking the Respondent's salon license for no less than one (1) year. It is further requested that the Board will not consider for possible action reinstatement of Respondent's salon license until the following conditions have been met: (1) The Respondent filed a formal petition to the Board requesting reinstatement of its salon license; (2) The Respondent has submitted its renewal fee to operate as a cosmetology establishment for either a two (2) or four (4) year-period; (3) The Respondent issued payment to the Board in the amount of \$4,500.00 for its outstanding citation fees.
- b. That the Board takes action against Respondent, Eternal Beauty Salon's salon license pursuant to NRS 644A.850(2)(d) by imposing an administrative fine up to \$1,000 for each violation of NRS 644A for a total administrative fine of \$2,000.00.
- c. That pursuant to NRS Chapter 622, the Board imposes the costs of the proceedings upon all Respondent, including investigative costs and attorney's fees, if the Board otherwise imposes discipline on Respondent.
- d. Further, the Executive Director requests that the Board take disciplinary action as it deems proper and just under the circumstances.

The Board by motion voted to approve the relief requested in its entirety. Ms. Harris was instructed to draft the Order for review and approval by the Board Chair, Molly O' Bryant.

The Board, having received, read, and considered the Complaint filed against Eternal Beauty Salon and all admitted exhibits proceeded to make a decision pursuant to the provisions of NRS Chapter 233B, NRS Chapter 622A, NRS Chapter 644A and NAC Chapter 644A. The Board after due consideration of the record, evidence, and law, and being fully advised in the premises, makes its FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER OF DISCIPLINE in this matter as follows:

28 II.

1 FINDINGS OF FACT

2

3 4

5 6

> 7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

I.

The Board, having considered all the evidence presented, the testimony of witnesses, and the arguments of counsel, for good cause appearing, finds sufficient quantity and/or quality of evidence sufficient to meet the preponderance of the evidence standard of proof as set forth by NRS 622A.370 and by Nassiri v. Chiropractic Physicians' Board of Nevada, 130 Nev. Adv. Op, 27, at 8-9 (April 3, 2014).

II.

The Board does find by a preponderance of the evidence that on or about September 3, 2014, Respondent, Eternal Beauty Salon by and through Salfi Enterprises, LLC, Salomon Hernandez Martinez, and Maria Figueroa Chavez, was initially issued a cosmetology establishment license by the Board, set to expire on September 3, 2016.

III.

The Board does find by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent, Eternal Beauty Salon by and through Salfi Enterprises, LLC, Salomon Hernandez Martinez, and Maria Figueroa Chavez, operates as a full-service cosmetology establishment and is located at 2121 North Decatur Blvd, Las Vegas, Nevada 89108.

IV.

The Board does find by a preponderance of the evidence that on September 3, 2016, Respondent Eternal Beauty Salon did not renew its license causing its license to expire. Notably, Respondent continued to operate on an expired license.

V.

The Board does find by a preponderance of the evidence that on January 17, 2017, Respondent, Eternal Beauty Salon, submitted its first renewal fee to the Board to continue operations as a cosmetology establishment, for the next two years. The renewal was approved with September 3, 2018 as the expiration date.

Page 4 of 9

VI.

The Board does find by a preponderance of the evidence that on or before September 3, 2018, Respondent, Eternal Beauty Salon, timely submitted its second renewal fee to the Board, to continue operations as a cosmetology establishment for the next four years. The renewal was approved with September 3, 2022 as the expiration date.

VII.

The Board does find by a preponderance of the evidence that on September 4, 2022, Chief Compliance Officer, Janie Huggins and Regulatory Compliance Specialist, Lauren Gossage noted that Respondent, Eternal Beauty Salon, did not submit a renewal fee and that its license expired. On December 4, 2022, after allowing the Respondent ninety (90) days to remit payment of its renewal fee, Ms. Huggins and Ms. Gossage noted that payment was still not issued by Respondent, Eternal Beauty Salon.

VIII

The Board does find by a preponderance of the evidence that on December 7, 2022, the Board submitted its initial letter to Respondent, Eternal Beauty Salon, informing Respondent that its license to operate as cosmetology establishment expired on September 4, 2022, a renewal fee was not received, and that the establishment must immediately close.

IX.

The Board does find by a preponderance of the evidence that on December 14, 2022, the Board submitted a second letter to Respondent, once again informing Respondent that its license to operate as cosmetology establishment expired on September 4, 2022, a renewal fee was not received, and that the establishment must immediately close.

X.

The Board does find by a preponderance of the evidence that on January 26, 2023, the Board submitted a second notice of citation to Respondent, Eternal Beauty Salon, for operating a cosmetology establishment with an expired license.

Page 5 of 9

XI.

The Board does find by a preponderance of the evidence that on Respondent, Eternal Beauty Salon, as of June 2020 has accumulated an outstanding balance of Four Thousand, Five Hundred dollars (\$4,500.00) for violations unrelated to the expired license.

XII.

The Board does find by a preponderance of the evidence that on March 14, 2023, Respondents, Salomon Hernandez Martinez, and Maria Figueroa Chavez visited the Board office requesting to meet with Ms. Huggins and Ms. Gossage. During the meeting, Mr. Martinez and Ms. Chavez agreed to pay \$4,000 for the citation fees, enter a payment plan for the remaining balance and either renew or submit a new salon application.

XIII.

The Board does find by a preponderance of the evidence that following the March 14, 2023 meeting, Ms. Huggins and Ms. Gossage made several attempts to reach the Respondents but have been unsuccessful. To date, the Board has received no other contact from the Respondents attempting to renew the cosmetology establishment license. Additionally, Ms. Huggins and Ms. Gossage later discovered that Salfi Enterprises, LLC's business license is currently revoked. Notably, Respondent is still operating as a cosmetology establishment as of date of this Order.

XIV.

The Board does find by a preponderance of the evidence that Ms. Huggins and Ms. Gossage forwarded this matter to Executive Director, Steven McDonald, who determined that there were sufficient facts to warrant an administrative hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.

The Board, having considered all the evidence presented, the testimony of witnesses, and the arguments of counsel, for good cause appearing, finds sufficient quantity and/or quality of evidence sufficient to meet the preponderance of the evidence standard of proof

as set forth by NRS 622A.370 and by Nassiri v. Chiropractic Physicians' Board of Nevada, 1 2 130 Nev. Adv. Op, 27, at 8-9 (April 3, 2014). II. 3 The Board has jurisdiction over the Respondent, Eternal Beauty Salon. 4 5 III. 6 The Board finds pursuant NRS 622A.350 that Respondent, Eternal Beauty Salon 7 was given sufficient legal notice of the scheduled hearing and that Respondent failed to 8 appear and did not request a continuance. 9 IV. 10 The Board finds that the Respondent did violate NRS 644A.610(1)(b) as set forth in 11 the First Claim for Relief of the Complaint. 12 V. 13 The Board finds that the Respondent did violate NRS 644A.610(2) as set forth in the Second Claim for Relief of the Complaint. 14 15 **ORDER** 16 Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and good cause 17 appearing therefore, 18 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Respondent, Eternal Beauty Salon has violated NRS 644A.610(1)(b) and NRS 19 20 644A.610(2) and that discipline is warranted. 21 2. Respondent, Eternal Beauty Salon's salon license is revoked for one (1) year from 22 the date of this Order. It is further ordered that the Board will not consider for possible 23 action reinstatement of Respondent's salon license until the following conditions have been 24 met: (1) The Respondent filed a formal petition to the Board requesting reinstatement of 25 its salon license; (2) The Respondent has submitted its renewal fee to operate as a 26 cosmetology establishment for either a two (2) or four (4) year-period; (3) The Respondent 27 issued payment to the Board in the amount of \$4,500.00 for its outstanding citation fees. 28

1 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER on file in the office of the Nevada State Board of

Cosmetology in the matter of ETERNAL BEAUTY SALON, Case No. C-2023-0068. I

further certify that I submitted for Certified Mail (RRR) and United States Mail, a true

I hereby certify that the foregoing is the full and true original FINDINGS OF FACT,

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

ETERNAL BEAUTY SALON c/o Salomon Hernandez Martinez and Maria Figueroa Chavez 2121 N. Decatur Blvd., Las Vegas, Nevada 89108

(Via Regular and Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested)

7020 1810 0000 7913 8580

and correct copy of the foregoing addressed to the following:

DocuSigned by:

Janie Huggins

JANIE HUGGINS Chief Compliance Officer

Nevada State Board of Cosmetology